IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION LODGING NO.2428 OF 2006

Ramesh Siddu Shinde .....Petitioner

Vs.

The Chief Executive Officer,

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors..Respondents

Mr. P.M.Shah,for the petitioner
Mr. G.D. Utangale, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr.D.S. Sakhalkar, for respondent No.6.
s. Geeta

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATE: 20TH OCTOBER,2006

P.C.

. The Respondent No.7 has been held to be eligible. The petitioner claims against the structure in respect of which respondent No.7 is held to be eligible. Claim of the petitioner has been rejected. An appeal has been filed which is pending. Considering the above the Appellate Authority is directed to dispose of the petitioner's Appeal within four months from today. If the petitioner is held to be eligible the Respondent No.6 to provide alternate transit premises to the petitioner till such time the rehabilitation building is constructed. With the above observation petition stands disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J).